

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,416	06/10/2005	Giacomo Nicolao Maccalli	47966.15.1	3637	
22859 INTELLECTII	7590 10/10/200 AL PROPERTY GRO		EXAMINER		
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.			ROBINSON, D	ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
200 SOUTH S SUITE 4000	IXTH STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402	•	3742		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		(/	\sim			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/538,416	MACCALLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Daniel L. Robinson	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	June 2005.					
	nis action is non-final.					
	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 27-51 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 27-51 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•) 4 404(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Applicate Tiority documents have been receive Teau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate				

Double Patenting

Claims 27-51 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 10/538,529. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they encompass the same subject matter because an electrically conducting material is a susceptor.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27-43, 45, 47, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quan et al (US 4,794,217). See Quan et al, for example, col. 3lines 62-66, cola lines 27-33, col.7 line 8 to col.8 line 36, Fig. 5-7. Therein Quan et al teaches a susceptor system for an apparatus

adapted to treat wafers. The susceptor Of Quan et al has a cavity 26 that extends in the longitudinal constituted by a one piece of graphite, the side walls 25 are constructed of quartz of silicon nitride (known dielectric materials that are also electrically insulating

Art Unit: 3742

materials.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to 'which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quan et al in view fKordina et al (US 5,695,567).

Kordina et al teaches a susceptor device with an upper/bottom walls 13,14 and side walls 11 and 12. The

abstract teaches the convention covering walls in such an apparatus with a SiC plate or coating see col.

1 lies 20-67, therein Kordina et al teaches it is conventional to use a SiC coating on the susceptor walls

as the material is known to withstand high temperatures and will prevent unwanted impurities from being

incorporation in the wafer treatment process. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of the claimed invention to provide the walls of apparatus of Quan et al with a coating

of SiC as suggested by Kordina et al.

. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quan et al in view

of Kaeppeler et al (WO 02/38838) using US 7,048,802 as an English Translation.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,416

Art Unit: 3742

The teachings of the Quan et al were discussed above. The application fails to teach:

A susceptor system according to claim 1 in which the piece of the upper wall (2)

and/or the piece of the lower wall (3) have grooves (22, 32) and/or ribs in the longitudinal direction for

joining with the pieces of the side walls (4, 5). See Fig.2 and col. 2 lines 50-67 of the US 7,048,802 .The

motivation to provide the grooves and or ribs of Kaeppeler et al in the apparatus of the co-pending

application according to the US 7,048,802 is that the radiation (heating) from the ceiling outer wall 4'

does not pass directly to the quartz tube 6. This ensures better temperature control. Thus, it would have

been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to provide slits and or

ribs to the apparatus of Quan et al as suggested by Kaeppeler et al.

Apparatus according to claim 15, comprising a first refractory and thermally insulating structure (7) which

surrounds the susceptor system (2, 3, 4, 5) and is constituted substantially

by a tube of high-porosity graphite or similar material and which extends in the longitudinal direction. The

foam sleeve according to the abstract of the WO publication is for improves heat insulation. Thus, it

would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to provide

the high porosity graphite as a material of construction as suggested by the WO publication to Kaeppeler

Application/Control Number: 10/538,416

Art Unit: 3742

et al to the apparatus of Quan et al.

Claims 46, 48 and 49 arerejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quan et al in view

ofKaeppeler et al (WO 02/38839).

The teachings of the Quan et al were discussed above. The application fails to teach:

Regarding claim 49: A susceptor system according to claim 12 in which the slide (6) comprises at least

one disc (61) suitable for supporting at least one substrate or at least one wafer, and is provided with a

recess (62) suitable for housing the disc (61) rotatably.

Regarding claim 51: Apparatus according to claims 15 to 19, comprising means for causing at least one

gas-flow to flow in at least one through-hole (21, 31) of the susceptor system.

Fig. 3 of the publication to Kaeppeller et al teaches the conventionality of providing a recess and a disc in a

susceptor. The combination of disc and recess is conventional as it provides for a gas curtain above,

which the disc can rotate which enhances substrate support. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to provide the disc and recess and illustrated.

by Kaepeller et al. Likewise, Kaepeller et al shows that it is conventional to provide such a through hole

as a means to transport gas through the susceptor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr